

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/375,169	08/16/1999	CAROLYN R. AITA	26767-1000	26767-1000 4691	
7.	590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Edward J. Lynch			MCNEIL, JENNIFER C		
DUANE MORRIS LLP ONE MARKET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPEAR TOWER, SUITE 2000			1775		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/375,16	59	AITA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	
		Jennifer C		1775	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	o cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. s, a reply within the state period will apply and were statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 April 2004.			
2a)∐		This action is n	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur				
Disposit	on of Claims				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-10,12-16,18,19,21-26,28</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wire Claim(s) <u>18,19 and 21-26</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-10,12-16,28,30,32</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restric	thdrawn from co	nsideration.	ation.	
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beet the attached detailed Office action for	iments have bee iments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
•					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	PTO-413\	
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-94	l8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/375,169

Art Unit: 1775

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 28, 30, 37, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 28 has been amended to include the phrase "at least in part" in reference to the outer coating. There is no clear support in the specification for an outer layer being formed "at least in part" of one of these compositions. This alludes clearly to the presence of additional materials in the outer layer. Applicant makes no known reference to any material other that those specifically named in the instant specification being in the outer layer. Applicant also refers to the necessity of the outer layer being made of these materials to perform the desired function of forming a hydrate or hydroxide compound upon contact with an oxygen-containing environment. Applicant does not appear to establish any basis for additional materials, nor does applicant make any such materials known.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended claim 1 to specify that the bilayer is made of two

Application/Control Number: 09/375,169

Art Unit: 1775

different materials, but does not clarify whether the bilayer is formed of a first layer of a first ceramic material and a second layer of a second ceramic material different from the first ceramic material.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that claim I has express language calling for the second ceramic material to be different from the first ceramic material. This language is not used in reference to "layers" of the "bilayer" as reflected in the other independent claims.

Regarding the II2 first paragraph rejection, applicant states that the Examiner has misinterpreted "outer component". The claim refers to an "outer coating component" "being formed at least in part of a compound selected from the group...". The specification refers to the outer or third module as:

A third or outer module disposed on the second module comprises a third-module compound capable of forming a hydrate or hydroxide compound upon contact in an oxygen containing environment, such as blood. The third module preferably comprises an aluminum, zirconium or hafnium compound, more preferably, a nitride of these materials. Module III comprises a single, relatively thicker layer of nanocrystalline aluminum nitride and provides

It is not found where the specification enables one of ordinary skill in the art to form the outer coating component or third module with materials other than those taught. Applicant is invited to point out in the specification where there is support for the "outer coating component" being formed of materials other than one of those above. Also, applicant is asked to clearly define what is being considered the "outer coating component". Is it to be considered the third module?

Application/Control Number: 09/375,169

Art Unit: 1775

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 18, 19, and 21-26 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C McNeil whose telephone number is 571-272-1540. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer McNeil Primary Examiner